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Abstract
COVID-19 infection increases the risk of death in patients with chronic conditions such as diabetes or obesity. The exact role of vitamin D in COVID-19 infection is unknown and controversial. Furthermore, the exact prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is still unknown but it affects individuals worldwide regardless of ethnicity and age. Herein, we give an overview of vitamin D deficiency as a global public health problem, the role of vitamin D in COVID-19 infection, and the proper approach to treating vitamin D deficiency.
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Introduction
The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type-2 (SARS-CoV-2) coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has rapidly spread worldwide. The severity of COVID-19 infection ranges from asymptomatic to severe and may lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome or multi-organ failure. Therefore, the interest in improving the immune system to avoid COVID-19 infection in this devastating pandemic has increased (1).

COVID-19 infection exacerbates the inflammatory response and increases the risk of death in patients with chronic conditions. For example, in diabetic patients, which causes systemic inflammation, worse infection-related respiratory symptoms are more likely to develop (2). Obesity and central adiposity are also significant risk factors for COVID-19-related complications, especially in those with compromised heart and lung functions (3). The risk of COVID-19-associated thrombotic complications is also increased due to common vascular damages in diabetic and hypertensive patients (4).

Several preventive measures for general public health have been recommended to limit the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission including hand and respiratory cleanliness (5). A healthy lifestyle and proven-effective nutraceutical supplements may help combat some aspects of the infection. Currently, it is critical to provide preventative health measures to lower the risk of COVID-19 infection including proper vaccine distribution and/or effective antiviral medicine.

Adequate nutrition and eating habits have been widely discussed during the COVID-19 pandemic, since underestimating the importance of nutrition in COVID-19 patients will significantly impact their prognosis. This is because of their impact on non-communicable diseases (such as diabetes and obesity) that can result in more severe infections and also their role in the improvement of the patients’ inflammatory state (6). In addition, vitamins C and D and zinc (7) have been reported to possibly have an important role in the susceptibility to the disease and the function maintenance of the immune system (8). C-reactive protein (CRP) and other inflammatory markers, including inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, are commonly high in patients with COVID-19 (9, 10). Therefore, any nutritional method that can regulate the immune function may be advantageous both early on, when an adequate immune response is critical, and later on, when a cytokine storm may cause hyper-reactive immune responses.

In this review, we give an overview of vitamin D deficiency as a global public health problem, the role of vitamin D in COVID-19 infection, and the proper approach to treating vitamin D deficiency.

Search strategy
For this mini-review, we searched PubMed/Medline, Web of Science, Scopus, DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals), Embase, and Google Scholar, using keywords including: COVID-19, vitamin D, SARS-CoV-2 and immune system.

Vitamin D as a global public health problem
Vitamin D, a fat-soluble vitamin, is essential in calcium homeostasis and bone health. It has also been associated with different disorders such as hypertension, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, cancer, autoimmune, and infectious illnesses (11). These conditions have a significant impact...
The exact prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is still unknown but it is estimated that it affects around one billion people worldwide regardless of ethnicity and age. Interestingly, vitamin D deficiency may be seen in some low-latitude or industrialized countries. Traditionally, in low-latitude countries, it was considered that the ultraviolet B radiation is sufficient to prevent vitamin D deficiency and in industrialized countries, fortification of vitamin D has been performed for several years (11).

Vitamin D role in COVID-19
Our lungs have about a 70 m² surface area that is in contact with air, so it is a significant target for viruses like SARS-CoV-2. Vitamin D may have several roles in COVID-19 infection. For example, vitamin D deficiency can impair innate cellular immunity and trigger cytokine storms, which contribute to the development of ARDS-associated COVID-19. Additionally, vitamin D promotes the production of antimicrobial peptides in the respiratory epithelium, which makes viral infections and COVID-19 symptoms unlikely. Vitamin D may also have an anti-inflammatory effect on the body when it is exposed to SARS-CoV-2 infection (12, 13). Covid-19 is characterized by the dysregulation of this response, particularly the renin-angiotensin system, and the level of over-activation of this system is associated with a poorer prognosis.

The CYP27B1 enzyme converts vitamin 25 (OH) D to its active form in antigen-presenting cells (APCs) such as dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages (14). Similar to macrophages and DCs, endothelial cells display major histocompatibility complex class I and II antigens and may function as APCs (15). They play an important role in vitamin D function in innate and adaptive immunity.

Treatment of vitamin D deficiency
The amount of vitamin D is measured by the blood vitamin D. However, we are unsure about the optimal doses of vitamin D. Some evidence support 50 nmol/L vitamin 25(OH)D levels because parathyroid hormone does not significantly decrease in individuals with vitamin D baseline levels more than 50 nmol/L. A study with 365,530 participants found that the 45-60 nmol/L range is an excellent target for decreasing mortality and premature death (16, 17). A 2017 meta-analysis found that individuals who took vitamin D daily or weekly without bolus doses had higher protective effects than those who took one or more bolus doses (18). Similarly, a placebo-controlled study of 3046 infants given 100000 IU vitamin D bolus doses every three months found no reduction in the incidence of pneumonia and there were even increased incidences in certain cases (19). Bolus vitamin D3 supplements, or even high doses of 4000 IU/day or 10000 IU/day, may have produced worse results in bone mineral density than 400 IU/day because the transiently high level of vitamin D activated the 24-hydroxylase enzyme, resulting in the production of 24, 25 (OH) 2D, an inactive form of vitamin D (20,21).

Even in obese individuals, regular doses of 800 IU are sufficient to achieve the target of a minimum concentration of 50 nmol/L vitamin D, and since doses up to 4000 IU/day are safe and vitamin D capsules are typically sold in 1000 IU capsules forms, the use of one 1000 IU capsule per day is sufficient and safe for all adults (22).

Meta-analysis regarding vitamin D association with COVID-19 disease
A meta-analysis of 373 critical COVID-19 patients that was published in 2020 found that patients with worse prognoses had significantly lower serum levels of vitamin D compared to those with favorable prognoses (23). In addition, another meta-analysis that included 532 patients from two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and one retrospective case-control research found that patients who took vitamin D supplements required less intensive care unit (ICU) admission (24). On the contrary, Rawat et al conducted a meta-analysis of three randomized controlled trials and two quasi-experimental studies to determine the effect of vitamin D supplementation in COVID-infected individuals. They reported that vitamin D supplementation did not significantly reduce the mortality and ICU admission rates, or the need for invasive ventilation (25).

The key reasons for the various findings may be as the followings; 1) Currently, there are limited available clinical trials and inadequate information about the influence of the various doses of vitamin D as well as the proper duration of therapy on the outcome. 2) Additionally, the current studies lack the sufficient information to examine the effect of vitamin D therapy in patients with severe vitamin D deficiency at baseline. 3) The characteristics of the participants of these studies that had comorbid conditions were heterogeneous making the evaluation of vitamin D effect in these individuals impossible due to insufficient available evidence.

As a result, more RCTs with enough sample sizes are required to fill these limitations.
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